arXiv:1404.0226v6 [math.PR] 15 Jul 2015

Representation theorems for generators of Reflected BSDE with continuous and linear-growth generators*

Shiqiu Zheng[1,2†], Shoumei Li[1‡]
(1, College of Applied Sciences, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, China)
(2, College of Sciences, North China University of Science and Technology, Tangshan 063009, China)

Abstract: In this paper, we establish a local representation theorem for generators of reflected backward stochastic differential equations (RBSDE), whose generators are continuous with linear growth. It generalizes some known representation theorems for generators of backward stochastic differential equations (BSDE). As some applications, a general converse comparison theorem for RBSDE is obtained and some properties of RBSDE are discussed.

Keywords: Backward stochastic differential equation; representation theorem for generator; converse comparison theorem; obstacle.

AMS Subject Classification: 60H10.

1 Introduction

The theory of backward stochastic differential equations (BSDE) has gone through rapid development in many different research areas in recent 20 years (see Peng (2010)). One of important results of BSDE theory is the representation theorem of generator, which establishes a relation between generators and solutions of BSDEs in limit form, can be used to resolve many problems in BSDE theory. Representation theorem of generator is firstly obtained by Briand et al. (2000), then generalized to the case that the generator g only satisfy Lipschitz condition and applied to study the converse comparison theorems of BSDE and uniqueness theorem, translation invariance, convexity, etc, for g-expectation by Jiang (2005, 2008). Since then, representation theorem of BSDE is further studied under some more general conditions. For example, Jia (2008) and Fan and Jiang (2010) obtain a corresponding result for BSDE with continuous and linear growth generators, respectively. Fan et al. (2011) obtain a result for BSDE whose generators are monotonic and polynomial growth in y and linear growth in z. Recently, Zheng and Li (2015) obtain a result for BSDE whose generators are monotonic and convex growth in y and quadratic growth in z. etc.

El Karoui et al. (1997) introduced the notion of reflected BSDE (RBSDE), which is a BSDE with an additional continuous, increasing process K in this equation to keep the solution above

*This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11171010) and Natural Science Foundation of Beijing (No. 1132008).

†E-mail: shiqiumath@163.com (S. Zheng).

‡Corresponding author, E-mail: li.shoumei@outlook.com (S. Li).

a given continuous process L, called obstacle. RBSDE are widely applied to the pricing of American options, mixed control, partial differential equations, etc. To interpret the relation between the generators and solutions of RBSDE, the following problem is natural: Can we establish a representation theorem for generators of RBSDE?

A basic difficulty to solve this problem is that the solution of RBSDE is restricted by its obstacle. In this paper, we solve this problem using a localization method. Exactly, we establish a local representation theorem for generators of RBSDE with continuous and linear growth generators, which generalizes some representation theorems for BSDE (see Jiang (2005) and Jia (2008), etc) to RBSDE case. Compared with the BSDE case, our representation theorem of RBSDE contains the increasing process K, and is obtained in local space.

Converse comparison theorem of RBSDE is firstly studied by Li and Tang (2007), then by Li and Gu (2007), when generators g are continuous in t and satisfy Lipschitz condition. Using the representation theorem obtained in this paper, we obtain a general converse comparison theorem of RBSDE, whose generators are only continuous with linear-growth in (y, z). With the help of our representation theorem, we also discuss some properties of RBSDE.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we will recall the definition of RBSDE. In section 3, a local representation theorem for generator is established under continuous and linear growth condition. In section 4, some applications of representation theorem are given.

2 Preliminaries

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a complete probability space carrying a d-dimensional standard Brownian motion $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$, starting from $B_0 = 0$, let $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ denote the natural filtration generated by $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$, augmented by the P-null sets of $\mathcal{F}$, let $|z|$ denote its Euclidean norm, for $z \in \mathbf{R}^n$, let $T > 0$ be a given real number. We define the following usual spaces:

$L^p(\mathcal{F}_t) = \{\xi :\ \mathcal{F}_t\text{-measurable random variable; } E[|\xi|^p] < \infty\},\ t \in [0, T],\ p \geq 1;$

$\mathcal{S}^2\,(0,\, T; \mathbf{R}) = \{\psi : \text{continuous predictable process; } \|\psi\|^2_{\mathcal{S}^2} = E\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\psi_t|^2\right] < \infty\};$

$\mathcal{H}^2(0, T; \mathbf{R}^d) = \{\psi : \text{predictable process; } \|\psi\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^2} = \mathbf{E}\left[\int_0^T |\psi_t|^2 dt\right] < \infty\}.$

Let us consider a function g

$$g\,(\omega, t, y, z) : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d \longmapsto \mathbf{R}$$

such that $(g(t, y, z))_{t\in[0,T]}$ is progressively measurable for each $(y, z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d$. In this paper, we will make the following assumptions on g:

(A1). (Linear growth) There exists a constant $\lambda > 0$, and non-negative stochastic process $\gamma_t \in \mathcal{H}^2(0, T; \mathbf{R})$ such that P-$a.s.$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$, $\forall (y, z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d$, $(i = 1, 2)$:

$$|g\,(t, y, z)\,| \leq \lambda\,(\gamma_t + |y| + |z|)\,.$$

(A2). (Continuity) P-$a.s.$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$, $(y, z) \longmapsto g(t, y, z)$ is continuous.

(A3). P-$a.s.$, $\forall (t, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^d$, $g(t, y, 0) = 0$.

Definition 2.1 A RBSDE is associated with a terminal condition $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$, a generator g, a lower obstacle $\{L_t\}_{0\leq t\leq T}$, which is a continuous progressively measurable real-valued process such that $\{L_t^+\}_{0\leq t\leq T} \in \mathcal{S}^2(0, T; \mathbf{R})$ and $L_T \leq \xi$. A solution of this equation is a triple

(Y, Z, K) of progressively measurable processes taking values in $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}_+$ and satisfying

$$\begin{cases} (i) \ \ Z \in \mathcal{H}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R}^d), \quad Y, \ K \in \mathcal{S}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R}) \\ (ii) \ \ Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T g\left(s, Y_s, Z_s\right).ds + K_T - K_t - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dB_s, \ \ \forall t \in [0,T]. \\ (iii) \ \ P-a.s., \ L_t \leq Y_t, \ \forall t \in [0,T] \text{ and } \int_0^T (Y_t - L_t) dK_t = 0. \\ (iv) \ \ K \text{ is continuous and increasing, } K_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

We call the RBSDE in Definiton 2.1 is a RBSDE with parameter (g, T, ξ, L), which is introduced in El Karoui et al. (1997). By Matoussi (1997) or Hamadène et al. (1997), we can get, under assumptions (A1) and (A2), the RBSDE has at least a solution. In particular, it has a minimal solution $(\underline{Y}, \underline{Z}, \underline{K})$ and a maximal solution $(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z}, \overline{K})$ in the sense that, for any solution (Y, Z, K) of this equation, we have $P-a.s$, $\underline{Y} \leq Y \leq \overline{Y}$ and $\underline{K} \geq K \geq \overline{K}$. Note that in the following, we always assume that $\{L_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$, is a continuous progressively measurable real-valued process such that $\{L_t^+\}_{0 \leq t \leq T} \in \mathcal{S}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R})$.

Remark 1 By the Definition 2.1, we can get that the solution of RBSDE with parameter (g, T, ξ, L) is only dependent on generator $g(t,y,z)|_{(t,y,z) \in [0,T] \times [L_t, +\infty) \times \mathbf{R}^d}$, not dependent on $g(t,y,z)|_{(t,y,z) \in [0,T] \times (-\infty, L_t[\times \mathbf{R}^d}$. In other words, if $P-a.s.$,

$$g_1(t,y,z) = g_2(t,y,z), \quad \forall (t,y,z) \in [0,T] \times [L_t, +\infty) \times \mathbf{R}^d,$$

then RBSDE with parameters (g_1, T, ξ, L) and (g_2, T, ξ, L) both have (or do not have) solution. If one has solution(s), then another has the same solution(s).

The following Lemma 2.1 gives a priori estimation for RBSDE, under assumptions (A1) and (A2), which can be proved using a standard argument given in Briand et al (2000, Proposition 2.2) for BSDE. We show its proof in the Appendix for convenient.

Lemma 2.1 Let g satisfy the assumptions (A1) and (A2), $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$, (Y, Z, K) be an arbitrary solution of RBSDE with parameter (g, ξ, T, L). Then there exists a constant C only dependent on λ in (A1) and T, such that for two stopping times σ, τ satisfying $0 \leq \sigma < \tau \leq T$, we have

$$E\left[\sup_{s \in [\sigma,\tau]} |Y_s|^2 + \int_\sigma^\tau |Z_s|^2 ds + |K_\tau - K_\sigma|^2 | \mathcal{F}_\sigma\right] \leq CE\left[|Y_\tau|^2 + \left(\int_\sigma^\tau \gamma_s ds\right)^2 + \sup_{s \in [\sigma,\tau]} (L_s^+)^2 | \mathcal{F}_\sigma\right].$$

Now, we introduce a stochastic differential equation (SDE). Suppose $b(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot) : \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^n \mapsto \mathbf{R}^n$ and $\sigma(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot) : \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^n \mapsto \mathbf{R}^{n \times d}$ and always satisfy the following two conditions (H1) and (H2) in this paper.

(H1) (Lipschitz condition) there exists a constant $\mu > 0$ such that P-$a.s.$, $\forall t \in [0,T]$, $\forall x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$,

$$|b(t,x) - b(t,y)| + |\sigma(t,x) - \sigma(t,y)| \leq \mu |x - y|.$$

(H2) (Linear-growth) there exists a constant $\nu > 0$ such that P-$a.s.$, $\forall t \in [0,T]$, $\forall x \in \mathbf{R}^n$,

$$|b(t,x)| + |\sigma(t,x)| \leq \nu \left(1 + |x|\right).$$

Given $(t,x) \in [0,T[\times \mathbf{R}^n$, by SDE theory, the following SDE:

$$\begin{cases} X_s^{t,x} = x + \int_t^s b(u, X_u^{t,x})du + \int_t^s \sigma(u, X_u^{t,x})dB_u, & s \in]t,T], \\ X_s^{t,x} = x & s \in [0,t], \end{cases}$$

have a unique continuous adapted solution $(X_s^{t,x})_{s\geq 0}$.

Remark 2 From the classical SDE theory, we know $E\left[|X_s^{t,x} - x|^p\right]$ is continuous in s and $E\left[\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}|X_s^{t,x}|^p\right] < +\infty$, for each $p \geq 1$.

Lemma 2.2 (Hewitt and Stromberg (1978, Lemma 18.4)) Let f be a Lebesgue integrable function on the interval $[0,T]$. Then for almost every $t \in [0,T[$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_t^{t+\varepsilon} |f(u) - f(t)|ds = 0.$$

Lemma 2.3 (Fan and Jiang (2010, Corollary 1)) Let g satisfy the assumptions (A1) and (A2), $(\eta, x, q) \in \mathcal{S}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R}) \times \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$. Then there exists a non-negative process sequence $\{(\psi_t^n)_{t\in[0,T]}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{H}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R})$ depending on (η, x, q) such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\psi_t^n\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} = 0$, and $dP \times dt - a.s.$, for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $(\bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{x}) \in \mathbf{R}^{1+d+n}$,

$$|g(t, \bar{y}, \bar{z} + \sigma^*(t,\bar{x})q) - g(t, \eta_t, \sigma^*(t,x)q)| \leq 2(n+\kappa)\left(|\bar{y} - \eta_t| + |\bar{z}| + |\bar{x} - x|\right) + \psi_t^n,$$

where $\kappa = \lambda(1 + |q|\nu)$, λ is the constant in (A1) and μ is the constant in (H1).

By the proof of Jiang (2008, Proposition 2.2), we can get the following Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.4 Let $q > p \geq 1$. Let $(\psi_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ be a $\mathbf{R}$-valued, progressively measurable process and $\mathbf{E}\left[\int_0^T |\psi_t|^q dt < \infty\right]$, then for almost every $t \in [0,T[$ and any stopping time $\tau \in]0, T-t]$, we have

$$\psi_t = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} \psi_s ds.$$

in $L^p(\mathcal{F}_T)$ sense.

3 Representation theorems for generators

The following is a representation theorem for generators of RBSDE, which is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.1 Let g satisfy the assumptions (A1) and (A2). Then for each $\eta \in \mathcal{S}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R})$ satisfying for each $t \in [0,T]$, $\eta_t > L_t$, each $(x,q) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$ and almost every $t \in [0,T[$, there exists a stopping time $\tau \in]0, T-t]$ depending on (t, η_t, x, q), such that

$$g\left(t, \eta_t, \sigma^*(t,x)q\right) + q \cdot b(t,x) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(Y_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} - \eta_t - E\left[K_{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} - K_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\right), \qquad (1)$$

in $L^p(\mathcal{F}_T)$ sense for $1 \leq p < 2$, where $(Y_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, Z_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, K_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau})$ is an arbitrary solution of RBSDE with parameter $(g, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau, \eta_t + q\cdot(X_{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}^{t,x} - x), L)$.

Proof. For $\eta \in \mathcal{S}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R})$ satisfying for each $t \in [0,T]$, $\eta_t > L_t$, and $(t,x,q) \in [0,T[\times\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$, we define the following stopping time:

$$\tau := \inf\left\{s \geq 0 : \eta_t + q \cdot (X_{t+s}^{t,x} - x) \leq L_{t+s}\right\} \wedge (T-t). \tag{2}$$

By the fact $\eta_t > L_t$ for each $t \in [0,T]$, and the continuity of $X_{t+s}^{t,x}$, we have $0 < \tau \leq T-t$ and

$$\eta_t + q \cdot (X_{t+s\wedge\tau}^{t,x} - x) \geq L_{t+s\wedge\tau}, \quad \forall s \in [0,T]. \tag{3}$$

For $\varepsilon \in]0, T-t]$, let $(Y_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, Z_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, K_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau})$ be a solution of RBSDE with parameter $(g, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau, \eta_t + q\cdot(X_{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}^{t,x} - x), L)$ and set

$$\begin{array}{rcll}
\tilde{Y}_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} & := & Y_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} - (\eta_t + q\cdot(X_s^{t,x} - x)), & s \in [t, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau], \\
\tilde{Z}_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} & := & Z_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} - \sigma^*(s, X_s^{t,x})q, & s \in [t, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau], \\
\tilde{L}_s & := & L_{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} - (\eta_t + q\cdot(X_{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}^{t,x} - x)), & s \in]t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau, T], \\
\tilde{L}_s & := & L_s - (\eta_t + q\cdot(X_s^{t,x} - x)), & s \in [t, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau], \\
\tilde{L}_s & := & L_s - (\eta_s + q\cdot(X_s^{t,x} - x)) = L_s - \eta_s, & s \in [0, t[.
\end{array} \tag{4}$$

Then by the fact $\eta_t > L_t$ for each $t \in [0,T]$, and (3), we have

$$\tilde{L}_s \leq 0, \qquad s \in [0,T]. \tag{5}$$

Applying Itô formula to $\tilde{Y}_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}$, for $s \in [t, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Y}_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} &= \int_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} \left(g(r, \tilde{Y}_r^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} + \eta_t + q\cdot(X_r^{t,x} - x), \tilde{Z}_r^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} + \sigma^*(r, X_r^{t,x})q) + q\cdot b(r, X_r^{t,x})\right) dr \\
&\quad + K_{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} - K_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} - \int_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} \tilde{Z}_r^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} dB_r.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Set

$$\tilde{g}(r,\tilde{y},\tilde{z}) := \left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \quad r \in]t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau, T], \\
g(r, \tilde{y} + \eta_t + q\cdot(X_r^{t,x} - x), \tilde{z} + \sigma^*(r, X_r^{t,x})q) + q\cdot b(r, X_r^{t,x}), \quad r \in [t, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau], \\
g(r, \tilde{y} + \eta_r, \tilde{z} + \sigma^*(r,x)q) + q\cdot b(r,x), \quad r \in [0, t[.
\end{array}\right.$$

By (A1) and (H2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{g}(r,\tilde{y},\tilde{z}) &\leq \lambda(\gamma_r + |\tilde{y}| + \sup_{u\in[0,T]}|\eta_u| + |q\cdot(X_r^{t,x} - x)| + |\tilde{z} + \sigma^*(r, X_r^{t,x})q|) + |q\cdot b(r, X_r^{t,x})| \\
&\leq (1+\lambda)\left(\tilde{\gamma}_r + |\tilde{y}| + |\tilde{z}|\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}_r = (\gamma_r + \sup_{u\in[0,T]}|\eta_u| + |q|(|X_r^{t,x}| + |x|)) + 2\nu|q|(1+|X_r^{t,x}|)$. By Remark 2, $\eta \in \mathcal{S}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R})$ and $\gamma_t \in \mathcal{H}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R})$, we get $\tilde{\gamma}_t \in \mathcal{H}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R})$. Then by (7) and (A2), we can check that $\tilde{g}$ also satisfy the assumptions (A1) and (A2). Let $(\hat{y}_s^t, \hat{z}_s^t, \hat{k}_s^t)$ be a solution of RBSDE with parameter $(\tilde{g}, t, \tilde{Y}_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, \tilde{L})$. By (6), it is not difficult to check that there exists a solution $(y_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, z_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, k_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau})$ of RBSDE with parameter $(\tilde{g}, T, 0, \tilde{L})$ such that, for $s \in [t, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau]$,

$$y_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} = \tilde{Y}_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, \ z_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} = \tilde{Z}_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, \ k_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} = K_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} - K_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} + \hat{k}_t^t, \tag{8}$$

for $s \in]t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau, T]$,

$$y_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}=0,\ z_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}=0,\ k_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}=K_{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}-K_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}+\hat{k}_t^t, \tag{9}$$

and for $s\in[0,t[$,

$$y_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}=\hat{y}_s^t,\ z_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}=\hat{z}_s^t,\ k_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}=\hat{k}_s^t. \tag{10}$$

Therefore by (5)-(10) and Lemma 2.1, we get there exists a constant $\tilde{C}$ only dependent on T and λ, such that

$$E\left[\sup_{s\in[t,t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau]}|\tilde{Y}_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}|^2+\int_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}|\tilde{Z}_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}|^2ds\right]\leq\tilde{C}E\left[\left(\int_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}\tilde{\gamma}_sds\right)^2\right]. \tag{11}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
M_t^{\varepsilon,\tau} &:= \frac{1}{\varepsilon}E\left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}g(r,\tilde{Y}_r^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}+\eta_t+q\cdot(X_r^{t,x}-x),\tilde{Z}_r^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}+\sigma^*(r,X_r^{t,x})q)dr|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\\
P_t^{\varepsilon,\tau} &:= \frac{1}{\varepsilon}E\left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}g(r,\eta_r,\sigma^*(r,x)q)dr|\mathcal{F}_t\right],\\
U_t^{\varepsilon,\tau} &:= \frac{1}{\varepsilon}E\left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}q\cdot b(r,X_r^{t,x})dr|\mathcal{F}_t\right],
\end{aligned}$$

By (4), we have $Y_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}-\eta_t=\tilde{Y}_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}$. Then by (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(Y_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}-\eta_t-E\left[K_{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}-K_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\right)-g(t,\eta_t,\sigma^*(t,x)q)-q\cdot b(t,x)\\
=\ &\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{Y}_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}-E\left[\tilde{K}_{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}-\tilde{K}_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\right)-g(t,\eta_t,\sigma^*(t,x)q)-q\cdot b(t,x)\\
=\ &M_t^{\varepsilon,\tau}+U_t^{\varepsilon,\tau}-g(t,\eta_t,\sigma^*(t,x)q)-q\cdot b(t,x)\\
=\ &(M_t^{\varepsilon,\tau}-P_t^{\varepsilon,\tau})+(P_t^{\varepsilon,\tau}-g(t,\eta_t,\sigma^*(t,x)q))+(U_t^{\varepsilon,\tau}-q\cdot b(t,x)).
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Thus, we only need prove that (12) converges to 0 in $L^p(\mathcal{F}_T)$ sense for $1\leq p<2$.

By Jensen inequality, Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.3, we get that there exists a non-negative process sequence $\{(\psi_t^n)_{t\in[0,T]}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\in\mathcal{H}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R})$ depending on (η_t,x,q) and $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\psi_t^n\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}=0$, such that, for any $n\geq1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&E|M_t^{\varepsilon,\tau}-P_t^{\varepsilon,\tau}|^2\\
\leq\ &\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}E\left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}\left|g(r,\tilde{Y}_r^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}+\eta_t+q\cdot(X_r^{t,x}-x),\tilde{Z}_r^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}+\sigma^*(r,X_r^{t,x})q)-g(r,\eta_r,\sigma^*(r,x)q)\right|dr\right]^2\\
\leq\ &\frac{1}{\varepsilon}E\left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}\left|g(r,\tilde{Y}_r^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}+\eta_t+q\cdot(X_r^{t,x}-x),\tilde{Z}_r^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}+\sigma^*(r,X_r^{t,x})q)-g(r,\eta_r,\sigma^*(r,x)q)\right|^2dr\right]\\
\leq\ &\frac{1}{\varepsilon}E\left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}\left(2(n+\kappa)(|\tilde{Y}_r^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}|+|\tilde{Z}_r^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}|+|\eta_r-\eta_t|+(|q|+1)|X_r^{t,x}-x|)+\psi_r^n\right)^2dr\right]\\
\leq\ &\frac{1}{\varepsilon}E\left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}\left(32(n+\kappa)^2(|\tilde{Y}_r^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}|^2+|\tilde{Z}_r^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}|^2)\right)dr\right]\\
&+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}E\left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}\left(32(n+\kappa)^2|\eta_r-\eta_t|^2+32(n+\kappa)^2(|q|+1)^2|X_r^{t,x}-x|^2+2|\psi_r^n|^2\right)dr\right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa = \lambda(1+|q|\nu)$. Let $\varepsilon > 1$, then by the above inequality, (11) and Hölder inequality, we have for $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& E|M_t^{\varepsilon,\tau} - P_t^{\varepsilon,\tau}|^2 \\
\leq\ & 32(n+\kappa)^2\tilde{C}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}E\left[\left(\int_t^{t+\varepsilon}\tilde{\gamma}_r dr\right)^2\right] + 32(n+\kappa)^2\frac{1}{\varepsilon}E\left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon}|\eta_r-\eta_t|^2 dr\right] \\
& +32(n+\kappa)^2(|q|+1)^2\frac{1}{\varepsilon}E\left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon}|X_r^{t,x}-x|^2 dr\right] + \frac{2}{\varepsilon}E\left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon}|\psi_r^n|^2 dr\right] \\
\leq\ & 32(n+\kappa)^2\tilde{C}E\left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon}|\tilde{\gamma}_r|^2 dr\right] + 32(n+\kappa)^2\frac{1}{\varepsilon}E\left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon}|\eta_r-\eta_t|^2 dr\right] \\
& +32(n+\kappa)^2(|q|+1)^2\frac{1}{\varepsilon}E\left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon}|X_r^{t,x}-x|^2 dr\right] + \frac{2}{\varepsilon}E\left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon}|\psi_r^n|^2 dr\right], \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{\gamma}_t \in \mathcal{H}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R})$, then by Fubini theorem and absolute continuity of integral, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} E\left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon}|\tilde{\gamma}_r|^2 dr\right] = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\int_t^{t+\varepsilon} E|\tilde{\gamma}_r|^2 dr = 0. \qquad (14)$$

Since $\eta \in \mathcal{S}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R})$, we can deduce $E|\eta_r-\eta_t|^2$ is continuous in r. Then by Fubini Theorem, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}E\left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon}|\eta_r-\eta_t|^2 dr\right] = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_t^{t+\varepsilon} E|\eta_r-\eta_t|^2 dr = 0. \qquad (15)$$

By Fubini theorem and Remark 2, we have,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}E\left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon}|X_r^{t,x}-x|^2 dr\right] = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_t^{t+\varepsilon} E|X_r^{t,x}-x|^2 dr = 0. \qquad (16)$$

By Fatou lemma, Fubini theorem and $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\psi_t^n\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} = 0$, we have

$$\int_0^T \liminf_{n\to\infty} E|\psi_r^n|^2 dr \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\int_0^T E|\psi_r^n|^2 dr = \liminf_{n\to\infty} E\int_0^T |\psi_r^n|^2 dr = \lim_{n\to\infty}\|\psi_t^n\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}^2 = 0.$$

Thus, for almost every $t \in [0,T[$, we have

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} E|\psi_t^n|^2 = 0. \qquad (17)$$

Then by (13)-(16), Fubini theorem, Lemma 2.2 and (17), we get that for almost every $t \in [0,T[$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} E|M_t^{\varepsilon,\tau} - P_t^{\varepsilon,\tau}|^2 \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\frac{2}{\varepsilon}E\left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon}|\psi_r^n|^2 dr\right] &= \liminf_{n\to\infty}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\int_t^{t+\varepsilon} E|\psi_r^n|^2 dr \\
&= 2\liminf_{n\to\infty} E|\psi_t^n|^2 \\
&= 0. \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq p < 2$, by Jensen inequality and Lemma 2.4, we have, for almost every $t \in [0,T[$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} E|P_t^{\varepsilon,\tau} - g(t,\eta_t,\sigma^*(t,x)q)|^p \\
=\ & \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} E\left|E\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}(g(r,\eta_r,\sigma^*(r,x)q)dr - g(t,\eta_t,\sigma^*(t,x)q)|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\right|^p \\
\leq\ & \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} E\left|\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} g(r,\eta_r,\sigma^*(r,x)q)dr - g(t,\eta_t,\sigma^*(t,x)q)\right|^p \\
=\ & 0. \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

For $1 \le p < 2$, by Jensen inequality and Lemma 2.4, we have, for almost every $t \in [0, T[$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} E|U_t^{\varepsilon,\tau} - q \cdot b(t,x)|^p \\
= & \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} E \left| E\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} (q \cdot b(r, X_r^{t,x}) - q \cdot b(r,x) + q \cdot b(r,x)) dr - q \cdot b(t,x) | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \right|^p \\
\le & \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} 2^{p-1} E \left| E\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} (q \cdot b(r, X_r^{t,x}) - q \cdot b(r,x)) dr | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \right|^p \\
& + \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} 2^{p-1} E \left| E\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} q \cdot b(r,x) dr - q \cdot b(t,x) | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \right|^p \\
\le & \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{2^{p-1}}{\varepsilon^p} E \left(\int_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} |q \cdot b(r, X_r^{t,x}) - q \cdot b(r,x)| dr \right)^p \\
& + \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} 2^{p-1} E \left| \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} q \cdot b(r,x) dr - q \cdot b(t,x) \right|^p \\
= & \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{2^{p-1}}{\varepsilon^p} E \left(\int_t^{t+\varepsilon} |q \cdot b(r, X_r^{t,x}) - q \cdot b(r,x)| dr \right)^p .
\end{aligned}$$

By the above inequality, Hölder inequality, Fubini theorem, (H1) and Remark 2, we have, for almost every $t \in [0, T[$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} E|U_t^{\varepsilon,\tau} - q \cdot b(t,x)|^p \le \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{2^{p-1}}{\varepsilon} |q|^p \mu^p \int_t^{t+\varepsilon} E|X_r^{t,x} - x|^p dr = 0. \tag{20}$$

By (12) and (18)-(20), we obtain (1). The proof is complete. □

Now, we consider two special cases of Theorem 3.1. When the obstacle L_t is a special regular process, we will have the following Corollary 3.2.

Corollary 3.2 Let g satisfy assumptions (A1) and (A2), and L_t is an Itô process:

$$L_t = L_0 + \int_0^t U_s ds + \int_0^t V_s dB_s, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where U_s and V_s are two progressively measurable processes such that for each $t \in [0,T], P-a.s.$, $\int_0^t (|U_s| + |V_s|^2) ds < \infty$ and $g(t, L_t, V_t) + U_t \ge 0$. Then for each $\eta \in \mathcal{S}^2(0, T; \mathbf{R})$ satisfying for each $t \in [0,T]$, $\eta_t > L_t$, each $(x,q) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$ and almost every $t \in [0, T[$, there exists a stopping time $\tau \in]0, T-t]$ depending on (t, η_t, x, q), such that

$$g\left(t, \eta_t, \sigma^*(t,x)q\right) + q \cdot b(t,x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(Y_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} - \eta_t \right),$$

in $L^p(\mathcal{F}_T)$ sense for $1 \le p < 2$, where $(Y_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, Z_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, K_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau})$ is an arbitrary solution of RBSDE with parameter $(g, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau, \eta_t + q \cdot (X_{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}^{t,x} - x), L)$.

Proof. Let τ be the stopping time defined in (2), $(Y_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, Z_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, K_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau})$ is an arbitrary solution of RBSDE with parameter $(g, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau, \eta_t + q \cdot (X_{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}^{t,x} - x), L)$. By El Karoui et al. (1997, Proposition 4.2), we have

$$P-a.s., \quad 0 \le K_{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} - K_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} \le \int_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} 1_{\{Y_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} = L_s\}} (g(s, L_s, V_s) + U_s)^- ds = 0.$$

From Theorem 3.1, the proof is complete. □

When the obstacle L_t has a upper bound, we will have the following Corollary 3.3.

Corollary 3.3 Let g satisfy assumptions (A1)-(A3), and there exists a constant C such that $\sup_{t\in[0,T]} L_t \leq C$. Then for each $(y,x,q) \in]C,+\infty) \times \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$ and for almost every $t \in [0,T[$, there exists a stopping time $\tau > 0$ depending on (t,y,x,q), such that

$$g(t,y,\sigma^*(t,x)q) + q \cdot b(t,x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(Y_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} - y\right), \tag{21}$$

in $L^p(\mathcal{F}_T)$ sense for $1 \leq p < 2$, where $(Y_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, Z_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, K_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau})$ is an arbitrary solution of RBSDE with parameter $(g, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau, y+q\cdot(X_{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}^{t,x}-x), L)$ satisfying for each $s \in [0, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau], P-a.s.,\ Y_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} \geq C$.

Proof. For each $(t,x,q) \in [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^n$ and $y > C$, we define the following stopping time:

$$\tau = \inf\left\{s \geq 0 : y + q\cdot(X_{t+s}^{t,x} - x) \leq C\right\} \wedge (T-t),$$

By $y > C$ and the continuity of $X_{t+s}^{t,x}$, we have $0 < \tau \leq T-t$ and

$$y + q\cdot(X_{t+s\wedge\tau}^{t,x} - x) \geq C \geq L_{t+s\wedge\tau}, \quad \forall s \in [0,T]. \tag{22}$$

For $\varepsilon \in]0, T-t]$, let $(y_s^{C,t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, z_s^{C,t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, k_s^{C,t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau})$ be a solution of RBSDE with parameter $(g, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau, y+q\cdot(X_{t+s\wedge\tau}^{t,x}-x), C)$. Since the obstacle is C, by El Karoui et al. (1997, Proposition 4.2) and (A3), we can get for each $s \in [0, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau]$,

$$P-a.s.,\ k_s^{C,t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} = 0. \tag{23}$$

Let $(Y_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, Z_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, K_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau})$ is a solution of RBSDE with parameter $(g, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau, y+q\cdot(X_{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}^{t,x}-x), L)$, such that for each $s \in [0, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau], P-a.s.,\ Y_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} \geq C$. Clearly, we can check $(Y_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, Z_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, K_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau})$ is also a solution of RBSDE with parameter $(g, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau, y+q\cdot(X_{t+s\wedge\tau}^{t,x}-x), C)$. By (23) and Theorem 3.1, we can get (21).

We will show there exists a solution of RBSDE with parameter $(g, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau, y+q\cdot(X_{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}^{t,x}-x), L)$, such that for each $s \in [0, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau], P-a.s.,\ Y_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} \geq C$. For $\varepsilon \in]0, T-t]$, we denote the maximal solution of RBSDE with parameter $(g, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau, y+q\cdot(X_{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}^{t,x}-x), L)$ and $(g, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau, C, L)$ by $(\overline{Y}_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, \overline{Z}_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, \overline{K}_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau})$ and $(\overline{Y}_s^{C,t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, \overline{Z}_s^{C,t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, \overline{K}_s^{C,t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau})$, respectively. By (A3) and $\sup_{t\in[0,T]} L_t \leq C$, we can check that $(\hat{Y}_s^{C,t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, \hat{Z}_s^{C,t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, \hat{K}_s^{C,t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}) = (C,0,0),\ s \in [0, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau]$, is a solution of RBSDE with parameter $(g, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau, C, L)$. By (22) and comparison theorem of RBSDE with continuous and linear-growth generators (which can be proved by Hamadène et al. (1997, Proposition 2.3) and a simple argument as the corresponding proof for BSDE case), we have

$$\overline{Y}_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} \geq \overline{Y}_s^{C,t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} \geq \hat{Y}_s^{C,t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} = C, \quad s \in [0, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau].$$

The proof is complete. □

Let $n = d,\ q = z,\ b(t,x) = 0,\ \sigma(t,x) = 1,\ x = 0$ in Theorem 3.1. Then we have the following Corollary 3.4, immediately.

Corollary 3.4 Let g satisfy the assumptions (A1) and (A2). Then for each $\eta \in \mathcal{S}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R})$ satisfying for each $t \in [0,T]$, $\eta_t > L_t$, each $z \in \mathbf{R}^d$ and almost every $t \in [0,T[$, there exists a stopping time $\tau > 0$ depending on (t, η_t, z), such that

$$g(t,\eta_t,z) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(Y_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} - \eta_t - E\left[K_{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} - K_t^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \right),$$

in $L^p(\mathcal{F}_T)$ sense for $1 \leq p < 2$, where $(Y_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, Z_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau}, K_s^{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau})$ is an arbitrary solution of RBSDE with parameter $(g, t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau, \eta_t + z\cdot(B_{t+\varepsilon\wedge\tau} - B_t), L)$.

Remark 3

1. From the proof of Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2, we can get that the stopping time τ in the above representation theorems can be replaced by any stopping time $\sigma \in]0,\tau]$.
2. Our representation theorems for RBSDE are obtained in local space. In fact, from Remark 1, it follows that it may be not true in whole space. Due to this fact, we only can use our representation theorem to study the local properties of generator of RBSDE. This is different from BSDE case.
3. The representation theorem for BSDE is established in Jiang (2005) under the additional condition that $b(t,x)$ and $\sigma(t,x)$ in SDE are both right continuous in t and established in Jia (2008) under the additional condition that $b(t,x)$ and $\sigma(t,x)$ in SDE are both independent on t. One can see that such conditions are eliminated in our representation theorems.
4. Let (Y,Z,K) be a solution of RBSDE with parameter (g,T,ξ,L). Indeed, if $L \equiv -\infty$, then $K \equiv 0$. Therefore, the RBSDE will become BSDE. Clearly, our representation theorem generalizes the corresponding results in Jiang (2005) and Jia (2008), etc.

4 Some applications

With the help of the representation theorem of RBSDE, we can establish a general converse comparison theorems for RBSDE.

Theorem 4.1 Let generators g_1 and g_2 satisfy assumptions (A1) and (A2). If for any stopping time $\tau \in]0,T]$ and $\forall \xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_\tau)$ satisfying $\xi \geq L_\tau$, there exists a solution $(Y_t^{\tau,i}, Z_t^{\tau,i}, K_t^{\tau,i})$ of RBSDE with parameter (g_i, τ, ξ, L), $i = 1,2$, such that $\forall t \in [0,T]$,

$$P-a.s.,\ Y_{t\wedge\tau}^{\tau,1} \geq Y_{t\wedge\tau}^{\tau,2},\ \text{ and }\ E[(K_\tau^{\tau,1} - K_{t\wedge\tau}^{\tau,1})|\mathcal{F}_t] \leq E[(K_\tau^{\tau,2} - K_{t\wedge\tau}^{\tau,2})|\mathcal{F}_t], \tag{24}$$

then $\forall \eta \in \mathcal{S}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R})$ satisfying $\eta \geq L$, $\forall z \in \mathbf{R}^d$, and almost every $t \in [0,T[$, we have

$$P-a.s.,\ \ g_1(t,\eta_t,z) \geq g_2(t,\eta_t,z).$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.4, for each $\eta \in \mathcal{S}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R})$ satisfying for each $t \in [0,T]$, $\eta_t > L_t$, each $z \in \mathbf{R}^d$ and almost every $t \in [0,T[$, there exists a stopping time $\delta > 0$ depending on (t,η_t,z) and a subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ of $\{n\}$, such that

$$P-a.s.,\ g_i(t,\eta_t,z) = \lim_{k\to+\infty} n_k \left(Y_t^{t+n_k^{-1}\wedge\delta,i} - \eta_t - E\left[\left(K_{t+n_k^{-1}\wedge\delta}^{t+n_k^{-1}\wedge\delta,i} - K_t^{t+n_k^{-1}\wedge\delta,i} \right) | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \right), \tag{25}$$

where $(Y_t^{t+n_k^{-1}\wedge\delta,i}, Z_t^{t+n_k^{-1}\wedge\delta,i}, K_t^{t+n_k^{-1}\wedge\delta,i})$ is an arbitrary solution of RBSDE with parameter $(g_i, t+n_k^{-1}\wedge\delta, \eta_t + z\cdot(B_{t+n_k^{-1}\wedge\delta} - B_t), L),\ i=1,2$. By (24), (25) and (A2), the proof is complete. □

Similarly, we can obtain the following Corrollary 4.2 from Corollary 3.3.

Corollary 4.2 Let generators g_1 and g_2 satisfy assumptions (A1)-(A3), and there exists a constant C such that $\sup_{t\in[0,T]} L_t \le C$. If for any stopping time $\tau \in]0,T]$ and $\forall \xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_\tau)$, there exists a solution $(Y_t^{\tau,i}, Z_t^{\tau,i}, K_t^{\tau,i})$ of RBSDE with parameter (g_i, τ, ξ, L) such that for each $t\in[0,T], P-a.s.,\ Y_{t\wedge\tau}^{\tau,i} \ge C,\ i=1,2$, and

$$P-a.s.,\ Y_{t\wedge\tau}^{\tau,1} \ge Y_{t\wedge\tau}^{\tau,2},$$

then $\forall (y,z)\in[C,+\infty)\times\mathbf{R}^d$ and almost every $t\in[0,T[$, we have

$$P-a.s.,\quad g_1(t,y,z) \ge g_2(t,y,z).$$

Remark 4

1. Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 are both established for generators which are continuous with linear-growth in (y,z), while converse comparison theorems of RBSDEs in Li and Tang (2007) and Li and Gu (2007) are obtained under the conditions that generators satisfy Lipschitz condition and are continuous in t.

2. Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.5 both show that generators can be compared in local space. In fact, by Remark 1, we get that the generators can not be compared in whole space. This is different from BSDE case.

In the following, we will discuss some properties of RBSDE, which have been considered in Jia (2008), Fan and Jiang (2010), and Fan et al. (2011) in BSDEs case.

Proposition 4.3 (Self-financing condition) Let g satisfy assumptions (A1) and (A2), and $\sup_{t\in[0,T]} L_t < 0$. Then the following two statements are equivalent:
(i) For almost every $t\in[0,T[$,

$$P-a.s.,\quad g(t,0,0)=0;$$

(ii) There exist a solution (Y_t, Z_t, K_t) of RBSDE with parameter $(g,T,0,L)$ such that

$$P-a.s.,\quad Y_t = 0,\ \forall t\in[0,T].$$

Proof. We can easily check that (i) implies (ii) by setting $(Y_t,Z_t,K_t)=(0,0,0)$. If (ii) holds, then we can check that for any stopping time $\tau\in]0,T]$, there exist a solution $(y_t^\tau, z_t^\tau, k_t^\tau)$ of RBSDE with parameter $(g,\tau,0,L)$ such that $\forall t\in[0,\tau]$,

$$P-a.s.,\quad y_t^\tau = 0,\quad k_t^\tau = 0.$$

Then by Corollary 3.4, the proof is complete. □

Proposition 4.4 (Zero-interest condition) Let g satisfy assumptions (A1) and (A2), and there exists a constant C such that $\sup_{t\in[0,T]} L_t \leq C$. Then the following two statements are equivalent:

(i) For almost every $t \in [0,T[$, $\forall y \in [C, +\infty)$,

$$P-a.s., \quad g(t,y,0) = 0;$$

(ii) For any $y \geq C$, there exist a solution (Y_t, Z_t, K_t) of RBSDE with parameter (g, T, y, L) such that

$$P-a.s., \quad Y_t = y, \ \forall t \in [0,T].$$

Proof. We can easily check that (i) implies (ii) by setting $(Y_t, Z_t, K_t) = (y, 0, 0)$. If (ii) holds, then we can check that for $y > C$, any stopping time $\tau \in]0,T]$, there exist a solution $(y_t^\tau, z_t^\tau, k_t^\tau)$ of RBSDE with parameter (g, τ, y, L) such that $\forall t \in [0,\tau]$,

$$P-a.s., \quad y_t^\tau = y, \quad k_t^\tau = 0.$$

Then by Corollary 3.4 and (A2), the proof is complete. □

More generally, we have

Proposition 4.5 Let g satisfy assumptions (A1) and (A2). Then the following two statements are equivalent:

(i) For each $\eta \in \mathcal{S}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R})$ satisfying $\eta \geq L$, and almost every $t \in [0,T[$,

$$P-a.s., \quad g(t,\eta_t,0) = 0;$$

(ii) For each $\eta \in \mathcal{S}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R})$ satisfying $\eta \geq L$, and each $t \in [0,T[$, if there exists a stopping time $\sigma_t \in]t,T]$ such that $\eta_t \geq L_s$ for $s \in [t,\sigma_t]$, then there exists a solution (Y_t, Z_t, K_t) of RBSDE with parameter (g, σ_t, η_t, L) such that $\forall s \in [t,\sigma_t]$,

$$P-a.s., \quad Y_s = \eta_t.$$

Proof. We firstly prove (i) implies (ii). Let $\eta \in \mathcal{S}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R})$ satisfying $\eta \geq L$, and for each $t \in [0,T[$ and there exists a stopping time $\sigma_t \in]t,T]$ such that such that $\eta_t \geq L_s$ for $s \in [t,\sigma_t]$. Let (y_s, z_s, k_s) is a solution of RBSDE with parameter (g, t, η_t, L). By (i), we can easily check

$$(Y_t, Z_t, K_t) = \begin{cases} (\eta_t, 0, k_t), & s \in [t,\sigma_t], \\ (y_s, z_s, k_s), & s \in [0,t[. \end{cases}$$

is a solution of RBSDE with parameter (g, σ_t, η_t, L). Thus (ii) holds ture. Now we prove (ii) implies (i). For each $\eta \in \mathcal{S}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R})$ satisfying for each $t \in [0,T]$, $\eta_t > L_t$, and each $t \in [0,T[$, we can find a stopping time σ_t by setting

$$\sigma_t := \inf\{s \geq t : \eta_t \leq L_s\} \wedge (T-t).$$

Clearly, $\sigma_t \in]t,T]$ is a stopping time such that $\eta_t > L_s$ for $s \in [t,\sigma_t[$. By (ii), there exists a solution (Y_t, Z_t, K_t) of RBSDE with parameter (g, σ_t, η_t, L) such that $\forall s \in [t,\sigma_t]$, $P-a.s.$, $Y_s = \eta_t$. Since $\eta_t > L_s$ for $s \in [t,\sigma_t[$. Thus we have $K_{\sigma_t} - K_t = 0$. By Corollary 3.4 and 1 in Remark 3, we can deduce for almost every $t \in [0,T[$, $P-a.s.$, $g(t,\eta_t,0) = 0$. Thus, for each $\zeta \in \mathcal{S}^2(0,T;\mathbf{R})$ satisfying $\zeta \geq L$, and almost every $t \in [0,T[$, we have $P-a.s.$, $g(t,\zeta_t + 2^{-n}, 0) = 0$. By (A2), we obtain (i). □

Appendix

In this Appendix, we will give the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Proof of Lemma 2.1

For two stopping times r, t satisfying $0 \le r < t \le T$, applying Itô formula to $|Y_s|^2 e^{\beta s}$ in $[r, t]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Y_r|^2 e^{\beta r} + \int_r^t (\beta |Y_s|^2 + |Z_s|^2) e^{\beta s} ds \\
= \; & |Y_t|^2 e^{\beta t} + 2\int_r^t (Y_s g(s, Y_s, Z_s)) e^{\beta s} ds + 2\int_r^t Y_s e^{\beta s} dK_s - 2\int_r^t Y_s Z_s e^{\beta s} dB_s \\
\le \; & |Y_t|^2 e^{\beta t} + 2\int_r^t |Y_s||g(s, Y_s, Z_s)| e^{\beta s} ds + 2\int_r^t Y_s e^{\beta s} dK_s - 2\int_r^t Y_s Z_s e^{\beta s} dB_s \\
\le \; & |Y_t|^2 e^{\beta t} + 2\lambda \int_r^t |Y_s||\gamma_s| e^{\beta s} ds + 2\int_r^t (\lambda |Y_s|^2 + \lambda |Y_s||Z_s|) e^{\beta s} ds \\
& + 2\int_r^t Y_s e^{\beta s} dK_s - 2\int_r^t Y_s Z_s e^{\beta s} dB_s \\
\le \; & |Y_t|^2 e^{\beta t} + 2\lambda \int_r^t |Y_s||\gamma_s| e^{\beta s} ds + \int_r^t ((2\lambda + 2\lambda^2)|Y_s|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|Z_s|^2) e^{\beta s} ds \\
& + 2\int_r^t Y_s e^{\beta s} dK_s - 2\int_r^t Y_s Z_s e^{\beta s} dB_s
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (A1). Setting $\beta = 2\lambda^2 + 2\lambda$, then we have

$$|Y_r|^2 e^{\beta r} + \frac{1}{2}\int_r^t |Z_s|^2 e^{\beta s} ds \le |Y_t|^2 e^{\beta t} + 2\lambda \int_r^t |Y_s||\gamma_s| e^{\beta s} ds + 2\int_r^t Y_s e^{\beta s} dK_s - 2\int_r^t Y_s Z_s e^{\beta s} dB_s.$$

Then using the identity $\int_r^t (Y_s - L_s) dK_s = 0$ and $L \le L^+$, we have

$$E\left[\frac{1}{2}\int_r^t |Z_s|^2 e^{\beta s} ds | \mathcal{F}_t\right] \le E\left[|Y_t|^2 e^{\beta t} + 2\lambda \int_r^t |Y_s||\gamma_s| e^{\beta s} ds + 2\int_r^t L_s^+ e^{\beta s} dK_s | \mathcal{F}_r\right], \tag{26}$$

and

$$\sup_{s\in[r,t]} |Y_s|^2 e^{\beta s} \le |Y_t|^2 e^{\beta t} + 2\lambda \int_r^t |Y_s||\gamma_s| e^{\beta s} ds + 2\int_r^t L_s^+ e^{\beta s} dK_s + 4 \sup_{u\in[r,t]} \left| \int_r^u Y_s Z_s e^{\beta s} dB_s \right|.$$

By BDG inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\sup_{s\in[r,t]} |Y_s|^2 e^{\beta s} | \mathcal{F}_r\right] \\
\le \; & E\left[|Y_t|^2 e^{\beta t} + 2\lambda \int_r^t |Y_s||\gamma_s| e^{\beta s} ds + 2\int_r^t L_s^+ e^{\beta s} dK_s | \mathcal{F}_r\right] + CE\left[\left(\int_r^t |Y_s|^2 |Z_s|^2 e^{2\beta s} ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} | \mathcal{F}_r\right] \\
\le \; & E\left[|Y_t|^2 e^{\beta t} + 2\lambda \int_r^t |Y_s||\gamma_s| e^{\beta s} ds + 2\int_r^t L_s^+ e^{\beta s} dK_s | \mathcal{F}_r\right] + \frac{C^2}{2} E\left[\int_r^t |Z_s|^2 e^{\beta s} ds | \mathcal{F}_r\right] \\
& + \frac{1}{2} E\left[\sup_{s\in[r,t]} |Y_s|^2 e^{\beta s} | \mathcal{F}_r\right],
\end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant, which may change from line to line. Combining above one and (26), we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left[\sup_{s\in[r,t]}|Y_s|^2e^{\beta s}+\int_r^t|Z_s|^2e^{\beta s}ds|\mathcal{F}_r\right] \\ \leq\ & CE\left[|Y_t|^2e^{\beta t}+2\lambda\int_r^t|Y_s||\gamma_s|e^{\beta s}ds+2\int_r^t L_s^+e^{\beta s}dK_s|\mathcal{F}_r\right] \\ \leq\ & CE\left[|Y_t|^2e^{\beta t}+\frac{1}{2C}\sup_{s\in[r,t]}|Y_s|^2e^{\beta s}+2C\left(\int_r^t\lambda|\gamma_s|e^{(\beta s)/2}ds\right)^2+2\int_r^t L_s^+e^{\beta s}dK_s|\mathcal{F}_r\right] \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$E\left[\sup_{s\in[r,t]}|Y_s|^2+\int_r^t|Z_s|^2ds|\mathcal{F}_r\right]\leq CE\left[|Y_t|^2+\left(\int_r^t\gamma_sds\right)^2+\int_r^t L_s^+dK_s|\mathcal{F}_r\right], \tag{27}$$

where C is only dependent on T and λ. Since

$$K_t-K_r=Y_r-Y_t-\int_r^t g\left(s,Y_s,Z_s\right)ds+\int_r^t Z_sdB_s,$$

then by (A1), BDG inequality and (27), we can deduce

$$E\left[|K_t-K_r|^2|\mathcal{F}_r\right]\leq CE\left[|Y_t|^2+\left(\int_r^t\gamma_sds\right)^2+\int_r^t L_s^+dK_s|\mathcal{F}_r\right]. \tag{28}$$

Since

$$E\left[\int_r^t L_s^+dK_s|\mathcal{F}_r\right]\leq E\left[\frac{C}{2}\sup_{s\in[r,t]}(L_s^+)^2+\frac{1}{2C}|K_t-K_r|^2|\mathcal{F}_r\right]. \tag{29}$$

Then by (28) and (29), we have

$$E\left[\int_r^t L_s^+dK_s|\mathcal{F}_r\right]\leq CE\left[|Y_t|^2+\left(\int_r^t\gamma_sds\right)^2+\sup_{s\in[r,t]}(L_s^+)^2|\mathcal{F}_r\right]. \tag{30}$$

By (27), (28), (30), and setting $r:=\sigma$ and $t:=\tau$, we can complete the proof. □

References

[1] Briand, P., Coquet, F., Hu, Y., Mémin, J., Peng, S. A converse comparison theorem for BSDEs and related properties of g-expectation. Electron. Comm. Probab. 5 (2000), 101-117.

[2] El Karoui, N., Kapoudjian, C., Pardoux, E., Peng, S., Quenez, M.C. Reflected backward SDE's, and related obstacle problems for PDE's. Ann. Probab. 25 (1997), 702-737.

[3] Fan, S. J., Jiang, L. A representation theorem for generators of BSDEs with continuous linear-growth generators in the space of processes. Journal of Computational and Applied mathematics, 235 (2010), 686-695.

[4] Fan, S., Jiang, L., Xu, Y. Representation theorem for generators of BSDEs with monotonic and polynomial-growth generators in the space of processes. Electronic Journal of Probability, 16 (2011), 830-834.

[5] Hamadène, S., Lepeliter, J.P., Matoussi, A. Double barrier backward SDEs with continuous coefficient, In: El Karoui N., Mazliak L.(eds.), Backward Stochastic Differential Equations, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, No. 364. Longman, Harlow, pp. 161-175. (1997)

[6] Hewitt, E., Stromberg, K.R. Real and Abstract Analysis. Springer-Verlag, New York. (1978)

[7] Jia, G. Backward stochastic differential equations, g-expectations and related semilinear PDEs. PHD Thesis, ShanDong University, China. (2008).

[8] Jiang, L. Nonlinear expectation$g$-expectation theory and its applications in finance. PHD Thesis. Shandong University, China. (2005)

[9] Jiang, L. Convexity, translation invariance and subadditivity for g-expectations and related risk measures. Annals of Applied Probability 18 (2008), 245-258.

[10] Li, J, Gu, Y. Converse comparison problems for reflected backward stochastic differential equations. Chinese Journal of Contemporary Mathematics, 28 (2007), 201-210.

[11] Li, J, Tang, S. A local strict comparison theorem and converse comparison theorems for reflected BSDEs. Stochas. Proce. Appli. 117 (2007), 1234-1250.

[12] Matoussi, A. Reflected solutions of backward stochastic differential equations with continuous coefficient. Statistics and probability letters, 34 (1997), 347-354.

[13] Peng, S., 2010. Backward stochastic differential equation, nonlinear expectation and their applications. Proceedings of The International Congress of Mathematicians. Hyderabad, India, 393-432.

[14] Zheng, S., Li, S. Representation theorems for generators of BSDEs with monotonic and convex growth generators. Statistics and Probability Letters, (2015), 197-205.